



## Workshop NOMAD 2013 Feedback Survey

### Data collection process


Survey was conducted using ODK and 5 smartphones: 2 Samsung, 2 Huawei Ideos and 1 HTC, collected data immediately transferred on an online ODK Aggregate appspot using a 3G Wifi hotspot.

**Feedback note:** Directly passing the phones hand-to-hand through the audience during the last discussions of a workshop allows anonymous filling of the survey as opposed to give a biased answer (especially when critical). However, not everyone was as efficient in using the phone, therefore some supervision or prior instructions should be given next time.

### General details on participants

Attendee:	Count	Part
Providers	9	27%
Participants	24	70%
Unusable	1	3%


### Attendees are:



### Using Mobile Data Collection before

(all)	Count	Part
Yes	20	80.0%
No	14	20.0%

### Having been using MDC before (users):



### Using Mobile Data Collection before (participants only)

(participants only)	Count	Part
Yes	10	41.7%
No	14	58.3%

*Note: 100% of providers have naturally been using MDC before. The graph only accounts for users.*

### Conclusion on Attendees' Details:


The breakdown of participants taking the survey represents roughly the actual breakdown of providers/users (registration: 35/84). Providers aside, a large part of the audience (58%) is still on the discovery phase of Mobile Data Collection, demonstrating that basic presentations on Mobile Data Collections and its tools do still have their part in a NOMAD workshop. Word of Mouth and NOMAD direct outreach have been the most effective ways of communicating about the event, together reaching 50% of the audience.



### Feedback on Organization and Logistics

What do you think about the general organization of NOMAD 2013? (Theme, agenda, premises, etc.)	Count	Part
Completely satisfied	8	23.5%
Very satisfied	16	47.1%
Fairly well satisfied	9	26.5%
Somewhat dissatisfied	1	2.9%
Very dissatisfied (none)	0	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>34</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

#### General organization of NOMAD 2013



Completely Satisfied and Very Satisfied add up to over 70% of the answers. Most of the less satisfied answers are suggesting improvements linked to session rhythm and sessions contents. There is no notable difference between the answers given by providers or users.

#### What do you think about the logistics during NOMAD 2013? (Lunches, sessions/breaks rhythms etc.)

	Count	Part
Completely satisfied	9	26.5%
Very satisfied	15	44.1%
Fairly well satisfied	7	20.6%
Somewhat dissatisfied	3	8.8%
Very dissatisfied (none)	0	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>34</i>	<i>100.0%</i>


#### Logistics during NOMAD 2013





Here too, *Completely Satisfied* and *Very Satisfied* combined make up over 70% of the answers. Most of the less satisfied answers are suggesting improvements linked to **meals**, **technical support** and **general rhythm**. There is no notable difference between the answers given by providers or users.

### Wednesday Tool Fair:



“*Completely Satisfied*” and “*Very Satisfied*” add up to 41% of the answers (48% if attendees missing on Wednesday are excluded as non-relevant). Two “*Somewhat Dissatisfied*” answers are to be noted, but the majority was “*Fairly Well Satisfied*”.

### Conclusion on Organization/Logistics Feedback:

Generally speaking, the assistants were satisfied with the NOMAD organisations, especially with logistics. The following suggestions were made to improve the logistics:


- Do serve lunch
- Slower rhythm and more flexibility of session timings
- Avoid parallel sessions or improve their coordination of parallel sessions
- More thematic/interactive/participative sessions
- Improve quality of remote (Skype) sessions

### Feedback on contents (users, providers)

#### Perception of different parts of the workshop

Parts of the NOMAD most appreciated (except when 3 equal)	Count
Providers presentations	15
Users presentations	21
Tool Fair	7

#### MOST appreciated part of the Workshop





The structure of this question did not allow a proper comparison, since multiple answers were possible, including an equal appreciation for all 3 parts of the workshop.

*Users Presentations* have been the part of the workshop best perceived by the audience, however the difference with *Providers Presentations* is not large.

### Suggestions on users, providers and contents

Are there some themes/topics or solutions you would have liked to see at NOMAD?	What aid agencies or tool providers would you like to see at next year's workshop?
Data storage legal issues. Store in country/out of country, cloud.	Logo, odk
more analytics	enketo formhub
Presentation of specific problems users struggle with ...	Ushahidi.
Collaboration. XML. Open data. Practical apps.	Google, HHI.
More focus on the tangible results/impact on the ground.	MSF, Openstreetmap, IOM
More user cases and barcamp-like sessions where people work together solving a problem	More users
Overcoming challenge	More providers and more users but categorize them into areas or themes
Harmonization of technology	concern worldwide
Odk	Formhub
crowd sourcing info and more on visualisation	Sphinx, Akvo
offline online. data analysis.	Activityinfo.org
More free options	Afd, Dfid, Infodev, Sida
Table rondes sur des subjects	

These two suggestions questions have been receiving various answers, all gathered here. Though no precise categories can be defined, open data solutions and collaboration/harmonization approaches are repeated a few times.



## Perspectives post-NOMAD


### MDC inspiration and choice of potential pilot/test solutions

**Did this workshop inspire you to start using Mobile Data Collection tools in your own organization?**

	Count	Part
Yes	10	76.9%
No	3	23.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

*Total is only attendee NOT using MDC before (Q2)*

### Workshop inspiring to start using Mobile Data Collection



## Miscellaneous suggestions

20% of the attendee gave final suggestion towards NOMAD in general. However, many of them have been made during the specific suggestions (logistics, organization, general satisfaction, etc.).

Complementary compilation and categorization of general suggestions (raw state):

Location	Workshop concept
Keep it in Paris ; )	Make it more interactive next time.
... move it closer to the centre of the continent: Berlin? Vienna?	Should be more brainstorming and exchanges, away from the buy-sell relationship